arXiv:1110.1843v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 29 May 2012

New application of decomposition of U(1) gauge potential: Aharonov-Bohm effect and Anderson-Higgs mechanism

Jian-Feng Li[1], Yu Jiang[2], Wei-Min Sun[3,4], Hong-Shi Zong[3,4] and Fan Wang[3,4]
[1] *College of Mathematics and Physics, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, China*
[2] *College of Mathematics, Physics and Information Engineering,*
Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China
[3] *Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China and*
[4] *Joint Center for Particle, Nuclear Physics and Cosmology, Nanjing 210093, China*

In this paper we study the Aharonov-Bohm (A-B) effect and Anderson-Higgs mechanism in Ginzburg-Landau model of superconductors from the perspective of the decomposition of U(1) gauge potential. By the Helmholtz theorem, we derive exactly the expression of the transverse gauge potential $\vec{A}_\perp$ in A-B experiment, which is gauge-invariant and physical. For the case of a bulk superconductor, we find that the gradient of the total phase field θ provides the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_\parallel$, which reflects the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. For the case of a superconductor ring, the gradient of the longitudinal phase field θ_1 provides the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_\parallel$, while the transverse phase field θ_2 produces new physical effects such as the flux quantization inside a superconducting ring.

Key-words: gauge potential decomposition; pure gauge; phase field; superconducting ring

E-mail: sunwm@chenwang.nju.edu.cn.   PACS Numbers: 03.65.Vf, 71.35.Lk

The decomposition of the gauge potential in gauge field theory plays an important role in the study of many physical problems. In the literature there are different types of gauge potential decomposition in different physical contexts [1–3]. For the case of U(1) gauge theory, the one with the most definite physical meaning is the decomposition of the vector gauge potential $\vec{A}$ into its transverse component $\vec{A}_\perp$ and longitudinal component $\vec{A}_\parallel$. This type of decomposition has many applications, e.g., it can be used to construct a decomposition of the QED angular momentum into the spin and orbital parts of the electron and the photon with each part satisfying the angular momentum algebra and the requirement of gauge invariance simultaneouly [4], and the proper gauge invariant momentum and Hamiltonian operator for a charged particle in an external electromagnetic field [5, 6]. In this paper we shall discuss two physical problems, the Aharonov-Bohm (A-B) effect [7] and the the Anderson-Higgs (A-H) mechanism [8] in Ginzburg-Landau (G-L) model [9] for the superconductors, from the perspective of this type of decomposition.

To start, we recall the decomposition of the vector potential $\vec{A}$ in terms of its transverse and longitudinal parts

$$\vec{A} = \vec{A}_\perp + \vec{A}_\parallel, \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{A}_\perp$ and $\vec{A}_\parallel$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}_\perp &= 0 \\ \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}_\parallel &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

With the boundary condition that $\vec{A}$, $\vec{A}_\perp$ and $\vec{A}_\parallel$ all vanish at spatial infinity, the above two conditions prescribe a unique decomposition of $\vec{A}$ into $\vec{A}_\perp$ and $\vec{A}_\parallel$. Under a gauge transformation of $\vec{A}$:

$$\vec{A} \longrightarrow \vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}\chi, \tag{3}$$

where χ is a nonsingular function, $\vec{A}_\perp$ and $\vec{A}_\parallel$ transform as

$$\vec{A}_\perp \longrightarrow \vec{A}'_\perp = \vec{A}_\perp, \tag{4}$$

$$\vec{A}_\parallel \longrightarrow \vec{A}'_\parallel = \vec{A}_\parallel + \vec{\nabla}\chi. \tag{5}$$

The condition $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}_\parallel = 0$ and Eq. (5) tell us that the longitudinal part $\vec{A}_\parallel$ is a pure gauge part and it transforms in the same manner as does the full $\vec{A}$. The transverse part $\vec{A}_\perp$ is gauge invariant under all gauge transformations and should be regarded as the "physical" part of $\vec{A}$.

Now, let us turn to the discussion of the A-B effect. The A-B effect, which indicates the importance of vector potential in quantum mechanics, has been widely studied over 50 years. In the literature the A-B effect is usually ascribed to the non-trivial topology of the region outside the infinitely long solenoid. Here we shall study this problem from the perspective of the gauge potential decomposition (1). We describe the system using cylindrical polar coordinates with z-axis along the symmetry axis of the infinitely long solenoid. According to Helmholtz theorem, $\vec{A}_\perp$ at all points of the space (both outside the solenoid and inside the solenoid) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{A}_\perp(x) &= \vec{\nabla}\times\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x'\frac{\vec{\nabla}'\times\vec{A}(x')}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}\\ &= \vec{\nabla}\times\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x'\frac{\vec{B}(x')}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}\\ &= \vec{\nabla}\times\frac{B}{4\pi}\vec{e}_z\int\limits_{\rho<R}\frac{d^3x'}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where R is the radius of the cross section of the solenoid. In the cylindrical polar coordinates, the field point $x=(r\cos\varphi, r\sin\varphi, z)$ and the source point $x'=(\rho\cos\varphi', \rho\sin\varphi', h)$. The integral in Eq. (6) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{\rho<R}\frac{d^3x'}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}\\ &= \int\limits_0^R\rho d\rho\int\limits_0^{2\pi}d\varphi'\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dh\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos(\varphi'-\varphi)+(h-z)^2}}\\ &= \int\limits_0^R\rho d\rho\int\limits_0^{2\pi}d\varphi'\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dh\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos\varphi'+h^2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

For an infinitely long solenoid, the integral over h in Eq. (7) is logarithmically divergent. It can be regulated by introducing a large cutoff L:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dh\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos\varphi'+h^2}}\\ &\to \int\limits_{-L}^{+L}dh\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos\varphi'+h^2}}\\ &= \ln 4L^2-\ln(r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos\varphi')+O(\frac{1}{L^2}).\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{\rho<R}\frac{d^3x'}{|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|}\\ &=\pi R^2\ln 4L^2-\int\limits_0^R\rho d\rho\int\limits_0^{2\pi}d\varphi'\ln(r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos\varphi')+O(\frac{1}{L^2}).\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

The integral in the right-hand-side of Eq. (9) can be evaluated to be

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_0^R\rho d\rho\int\limits_0^{2\pi}d\varphi'\ln(r^2+\rho^2-2r\rho\cos\varphi')\\ &= 2\pi\int\limits_0^R\rho d\rho\ln\frac{r^2+\rho^2+|r^2-\rho^2|}{2}\\ &= \begin{cases}\pi R^2\ln R^2-\pi R^2+\pi r^2 & r\le R\\ \pi R^2\ln r^2 & r>R\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

The divergent term $\pi R^2 \ln 4L^2$ in Eq. (9) is a constant and does not contribute to $\vec{A}_\perp(x)$. The contribution of the third term in Eq. (9) vanishes in the limit $L \to \infty$. We then obtain

$$\vec{A}_\perp(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Br}{2}\vec{e}_\varphi & r \leq R \\ \frac{\Phi}{2\pi r}\vec{e}_\varphi & r > R, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $\Phi = \pi R^2 B$ is the magnetic flux inside the solenoid, and $\vec{e}_\varphi$ is the base vector along the φ direction of the cylindrical polar coordinate system. Note that outside the solenoid ($r > R$) one has $\vec{A}_\perp(x) = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi}\vec{\nabla}\varphi$. As is shown by Eq. (6), once the magnetic field $\vec{B}$ at all points inside the solenoid has been measured, one can determine $\vec{A}_\perp$ at all points of the space. In this sense, $\vec{A}_\perp$ in A-B effect is completely determined by the magnetic field $\vec{B}$ and is thus physical. It is not a pure gauge term and cannot be gauged away by a gauge transformation. Here, we note that the transverse vector potential in electrodynamics was also studied in Ref. [10]. In that reference the authors argued that the transverse vector potential is the physical degrees of freedom of electrodynamics and also discussed the transverse vector potential in the region where the field strength vanishes (such as the region outside the solenoid in the case of A-B experiment). Compared with their approach, we do not discuss $\vec{A}_\perp$ inside the solenoid and outside the solenoid separately. As is shown above, we derive an explicit expression of $\vec{A}_\perp$ in the A-B experiment at all points (both inside the solenoid and outside the solenoid) by means of Helmholtz theorem. Our approach shows that the $\vec{A}_\perp$ inside the solenoid and outside the solenoid is a unified physical quantity and is the physical degree of freedom of the electromagnetic field. We also note that in Ref. [11] the authors proposed a reformulation of electrodynamics in terms of a physical vector potential entirely free of gauge ambiguities. Our formulation differs from theirs in that in our formulation the gauge degrees of freedom still exists, whereas in their formulation there are no gauge degrees of freedom at all.

Recently, based on the gauge potential decomposition (1), the authors of [5] proposed that the proper quantum mechanical momentum operator for a charged particle in an external magnetic field should be $\vec{P} = \vec{p} - q\vec{A}_\parallel$ and the corresponding orbital angular momentum operator is $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times (\vec{p} - q\vec{A}_\parallel)$. Thus, the proper momentum operator for the electron in A-B experiment is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{P} &= \vec{p} - q\vec{A}_\parallel = \vec{p} - q\vec{A} + q\vec{A}_\perp \\ &= m\vec{v} + \frac{q\Phi}{2\pi r}\vec{e}_\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $m\vec{v} = \vec{p} - q\vec{A}$ is the mechanical momentum. Note that $m\vec{v}$ is observable only in classical physics. In quantum mechanics, when the magnetic field $\vec{B}$ is nonzero, the three components of $m\vec{v}$ do not commute with each other and therefore cannot be measured simultaneously. Here we also point out that neither the mechanical momentum nor the canonical momentum provides for the true description of the motion of an electron wave passing around an infinite magnetic solenoid, whereas the proper momentum operator given in Eq. (12) does precisely what is needed. It displays that an electron wave passing on one side of the solenoid picks up additional momentum while the electron wave passing on the other side loses momentum. Thus fringes appear when the waves are recombined on the far side. Therefore, A-B effect is due to interaction with $\vec{A}_\perp$, which is shown clearly by the expression (12) for the proper momentum operator.

On the other hand, in the discussion of A-B experiment a multi-valued vector potential can also be defined [12]

$$\vec{A}' = -\varphi B_z(r)\vec{r}, \tag{13}$$

where $B_z(r) = B\theta(R - r)$ is the z-component of the magnetic field. The vector potential in Eq. (13) also satisfies

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}' = B_z(r)\vec{e}_z = \vec{B}. \tag{14}$$

It can be easily seen that $\vec{A}'$ differs from $\vec{A}_\perp$ by a pure gauge term:

$$\vec{A}' = \vec{A}_\perp - \vec{\nabla}(\frac{\Lambda(r)\varphi}{2\pi}), \tag{15}$$

where

$$\Lambda(r) = 2\pi \int_0^r r' B_z(r') dr'. \tag{16}$$

It is obvious that only the purely transverse part $\vec{A}_{\perp}$ of the gauge potential $\vec{A}'$ is physical. In fact, there are various other expressions of the vector potential that are used to describe the A-B effect. All of them are connected to $\vec{A}_{\perp}$ in Eq. (11) by a suitable gauge transformation.

Now we turn to the discussion of A-H mechanism in G-L model for superconductors. We begin with the Lagrangian for the relativistic version of G-L model

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + (D^{\mu}\phi)^{*}(D_{\mu}\phi) - V(\phi), \tag{17}$$

where the complex scalar field ϕ is the order parameter and $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + iqA_{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative with $q = -2e$ being the charge of the Cooper pair. Here the potential $V(\phi) = \alpha\left|\phi\right|^{2} + \frac{\beta}{2}\left|\phi\right|^{4}$. According to Landau phase transition theory, the coefficient β is always positive. For $\alpha > 0$ the potential has a minimum at $|\phi| = 0$ and the system is in the symmetric phase. For $\alpha < 0$ the potential has a minimum at $|\phi|^{2} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. In this case, the vacuum is degenerate and spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs.

In the case of symmetry breaking, ϕ acquires a nonzero vacuum value:

$$\langle\phi\rangle = \phi_{0} = \sqrt{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}. \tag{18}$$

The scalar field can be written as

$$\phi\left(x\right) = \left(\phi_{0} + \eta\left(x\right)\right)e^{i\theta(x)}, \tag{19}$$

where $\eta\left(x\right)$ and $\theta\left(x\right)$ are the amplitude and phase fluctuations of the order parameter, respectively, and the latter represents the massless Goldstone mode. When expressed in terms of η and θ, the Lagrangian (17) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} \quad &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}\eta\partial^{\mu}\eta - V(\phi_{0} + \eta) \\ &+(\phi_{0} + \eta)^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta - 2eA_{\mu})(\partial^{\mu}\theta - 2eA^{\mu}).\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

One can further absorb the Goldstone field θ into A_{μ} by defining a new field A'_{μ}:

$$A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2e}\partial_{\mu}\theta. \tag{21}$$

Then the Lagrangian (20) reads

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F'_{\mu\nu}F'^{\mu\nu} + \partial_{\mu}\eta\partial^{\mu}\eta - V(\phi_{0} + \eta) + 4e^{2}(\phi_{0} + \eta)^{2}A'_{\mu}A'^{\mu}, \tag{22}$$

where $F'_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A'_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A'_{\mu}$. In the Lagrangian (22), the Goldstone field does not appear and the original massless gauge field acquires a mass. The degree of freedom of the Goldstone field has transformed into the longitudinal component of the massive gauge field. This is just the A-H mechanism.

Now we shall discuss the A-H mechanism from the perspective of the gauge potential decomposition Eq.(1). It is well known that the G-L order parameter for superconductors is represented by the bosonic field $\phi(\vec{x}) = \sqrt{\rho(\vec{x})}e^{i\theta(\vec{x})}$, where the amplitude $\rho(\vec{x})$ is meaningful and observable. It can be identified as the density of Cooper pairs. In the following we shall argue that for a bulk superconductor, the gradient of the phase field θ provides the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_{\parallel}$ of the vector potential $\vec{A}$, while for a superconducting ring, θ can be decomposed into a nonsingular longitudinal field θ_{1} and a singular transverse field θ_{2}, the gradient of θ_{1} providing the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_{\parallel}$, and θ_{2} being connected with the transverse component $\vec{A}_{\perp}$ which is induced by a constant magnetic flux Φ trapped in the superconducting ring.

From the G-L wave function, we can first obtain the electric current density inside the superconductor

$$\vec{j} = \frac{q\rho}{m}(\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}), \tag{23}$$

where $\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta = m\vec{V}$ is the usual canonical momentum for the Cooper pair. We now rewrite the current density as

$$\vec{j} = \frac{q\rho}{m}(\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}_{\parallel} - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}_{\perp}). \tag{24}$$

Since $\vec{j}$ and $\vec{A}_\perp$ are gauge invariant quantities, whereas $\vec{\nabla}\theta$ and $\vec{A}_\parallel$ are not, so for a bulk superconductor one should have the relation

$$\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta = \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}_\parallel. \tag{25}$$

Eq. (25) shows clearly that in a bulk superconductor the gradient of the phase field provides the longitudinal component of the vector potential. In this sense, this relation just plays the same role as the A-H mechanism does in the previous discussion, i.e., the Goldstone field θ is eaten up and the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_\parallel$ appears. Note that owing to Eq. (25) we do not need to adopt Coulomb gauge to eliminate the pure gauge term $\vec{A}_\parallel$ in G-L theory. Eq. (25) immediately leads to the famous London equation

$$\vec{j} = -\frac{q^2\rho}{mc}\vec{A}_\perp. \tag{26}$$

Substituting London equation into the Maxwell equation (for static fields)

$$\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\vec{j}, \tag{27}$$

and using $\vec{\nabla}\times(\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A}_\perp) = -\nabla^2\vec{A}_\perp$, we can obtain

$$\nabla^2\vec{A}_\perp - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\vec{A}_\perp = 0, \tag{28}$$

where $\lambda = (\frac{mc^2}{4\pi q^2\rho})^{1/2}$. Eq. (28) leads to the exponentially decaying behavior of the magnetic field in a bulk superconductor and λ is the penetration depth. Therefore, inside a bulk superconductor $\vec{A}_\perp = 0$, $\vec{B} = 0$, and $\vec{j} = 0$. This is just the Meissner effect.

Now let us apply the G-L theory to the case of a multiply connected superconducting ring. We describe the system using cylindrical polar coordinates with z-axis perpendicular to the plane of the ring. We assume that there is a magnetic flux Φ through the ring. Below T_c a persistent current will flow around the ring to maintain the constant flux $\Phi = n\Phi_0$ in the ring, where $\Phi_0 = \frac{hc}{2e}$ is the flux quantum. Due to the A-B effect $\vec{A}_\perp \neq 0$ inside the superconducting ring, which differs from the case of a bulk superconductor.

For a superconducting ring the phase field can be decomposed into two parts: $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$, where the longitudinal phase field θ_1 is nonsingular and the transverse phase field θ_2 is singular. θ_1 and θ_2 are independent of each other. Here the nonsingular phase field θ_1 still represents the Goldstone mode and the singular phase field $\theta_2 \neq 0$ is multi-valued [13]. Such a decomposition of phase field can also be seen in Kosterlitz-Thouless (K-T) transition [14]. In that case, θ_1 is the analytic spin-wave component and θ_2 represents the singular vortex component. Note that K-T transition only occurs in 2D space. For the case of a superconducting ring, similar to Eq. (25), we should have

$$\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta_1 = \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}_\parallel, \tag{29}$$

Eq. (29) leads to

$$\vec{j} = \frac{q\rho}{m}(\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta_2 - \frac{q}{c}\vec{A}_\perp). \tag{30}$$

Substituting Eq. (30) into Eq. (27), one can obtain

$$\nabla^2\vec{A}_\perp - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\vec{A}_\perp = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi\lambda^2}\vec{\nabla}\theta_2. \tag{31}$$

The solution to Eq. (31) can be written as $\vec{A}_\perp = \vec{A}_{1\perp} + \vec{A}_{2\perp}$, where $\vec{A}_{1\perp}$ is a solution to the homogeneous equation corresponding to Eq. (31) and $\vec{A}_{2\perp}$ is a specific solution to Eq. (31). For the 1D case it is easy to obtain $\vec{A}_{1\perp} = \vec{A}_{1\perp}(0)e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}}$. This is just the Meissner effect. The specific solution $\vec{A}_{2\perp}$ is connected with the A-B effect. If one takes $\theta_2 = n\varphi$, where n is an integer (this is due to the single-valuedness condition of the wavefunction), then it can be verified that a specific solution to Eq. (31) is $\vec{A}_{2\perp} = -\frac{n\Phi_0}{2\pi}\vec{\nabla}\varphi$ (inside the superconducting ring). Here we have used the equality $\nabla^2\varphi = 0$. It is obvious that $\vec{A}_{2\perp}$ is a solution for A-B effect with $-n\Phi_0$ being the flux trapped in the superconducting ring. It gives null magnetic field inside the superconducting ring. The complete solution in this case is the superposition of the Meissner effect solution and A-B effect solution. Therefore, inside a superconducting ring

$\vec{B}=0$ and $\vec{A}_{1\perp}=0$, whereas $\vec{A}_{2\perp}\neq 0$. Note that here we have given a unified description of Meissner effect and A-B effect for the case of a superconducting ring.

Owing to Maxwell equation (27), inside the superconducting ring $\vec{j}=0$. From Eq. (30) it follows immediately that inside a superconducting ring

$$\hbar\vec{\nabla}\theta_2=\frac{q}{c}\vec{A}_{\perp}. \tag{32}$$

Taking the line integral of both sides of Eq. (32) along a curve Γ around the superconducting ring, one obtains

$$\oint_{\Gamma}\vec{\nabla}\theta_2\cdot d\vec{l}=\oint_{\Gamma}\frac{q}{\hbar c}\vec{A}_{\perp}\cdot d\vec{l}. \tag{33}$$

If one assumes that θ_1 is single valued, then from this one immediately obtains the magnetic flux quantization condition $\Phi=n\Phi_0$ by the wave function single-valuedness condition $\phi(r,\varphi,z)=\phi(r,\varphi+2\pi,z)$. Note that in Ref. [10] the authors also gave a discussion on the wave function of the ground state of a superconducting ring, while in our work we have given a unified description of Meissner effect and flux quantization for the case of a superconducting ring from the perspective of gauge potential decomposition.

To summarize, in this paper we study the A-B effect and A-H mechanism in G-L model of superconductors from the perspective of the decomposition of U(1) gauge potential. By the Helmholtz theorem, we derive exactly the expression of the transverse gauge potential $\vec{A}_{\perp}$ in A-B experiment, which is gauge-invariant and physical. For the case of a bulk superconductor, we find that the gradient of the total phase field θ of the order parameter provides the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_{\|}$ of the vector potential, which reflects the A-H mechanism, while the transverse part $\vec{A}_{\perp}$ only exists in the surface region, which reflects the Meissner effect. For the case of a superconducting ring, the phase field can be decomposed into two parts: $\theta=\theta_1+\theta_2$, where the longitudinal phase field θ_1 is nonsingular and the transverse phase field θ_2 is multi-valued and singular. The gradient of the phase field θ_1 provides the longitudinal component $\vec{A}_{\|}$, while θ_2 will produce new physical effects, for example, the flux quantization inside a superconducting ring.

Acknowledgement:

This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China (under Grant No.11075075 and 10935001), the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (under Grant No.200802840009) and a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution.

---

[1] Y.S. Duan and M.L. Ge, Sci. Sin. **11**, 1072(1979).
[2] Y.M. Cho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 302 (1981).
[3] L. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1624 (1999).
[4] X.S. Chen, X.F. Lü, W.M. Sun ,F. Wang and T. Goldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 232002(2008).
[5] F. Wang, X.S. Chen, X.F. Lü, W.M. Sun and T. Goldman, arXiv: 0909.0798 [hep-ph].
[6] W.M. Sun, X.S. Chen, X.F. Lü and F. Wang, Phys. Rev. **A82**, 012107 (2010).
[7] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115**, 485 (1959).
[8] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **130**, 439 (1963); P.W. Higgs, Phys. Lett. **12**, 132(1964).
[9] V.L. Ginzburg and L.D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP. **20**, 1064 (1950).
[10] V.M. Dubovik and S.V. Shabanov, J. Phys. **A23**, 3245 (1990).
[11] P. Majumdar and S. Bhattacharjee, arxiv: 0903.4340 [hep-th].
[12] M. Bawin and A. Burnel, J. Phys. **A16**,2173(1983).
[13] G.-Z. Liu and G. Cheng, Phys. Rev. **B65**, 132513 (2002).
[14] J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, J.Phys.**C662**, 1181 (1973).